## CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR

#### CCUS And The Fight Over Carbon Emissions



CO<sub>2</sub> Injection Well

Presented to the American Action Forum

June 2014

Edward Dodge

## What is CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR?

• Injecting Carbon Dioxide for Enhanced Oil Recovery is a proven method to sequester  $CO_2$  and extend the productive life of oil fields.



CO<sub>2</sub> EOR Delivers Almost as Much Production as



Source: Advanced Resources International and Melzer Consulting, Optimization of CO, Storage in CO, Enhanced Oil Recovery Projects, prepared for UK Department of Energy & Climate Change, November 2010.

## CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR Pioneered in the USA

- Practiced since the 1970's.
- Currently ~4000 miles of CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines and over 100 projects.
- Injecting 60 million metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub> annually.
- Producing 300,000 barrels of oil per day (6% of US oil production).
- Most of the CO<sub>2</sub> sourced from natural underground deposits.



Location of Current CO2 EOR Projects and Pipeline Infrastructure

#### CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR is a Rare Point of Agreement Between Environmental Groups and Fossil Fuel Producers











# Tapping into StrandedDomestic Oil: Enhanced OilRecovery with Carbon DioxideIs a Win-Win-Win



NATIONAL PETROLEUM COUNCIL













#### CCS is Recognized Throughout the World as Crucial in the Fight Over Climate Change



#### Strategies For Meeting Carbon Emissions Goals



#### Carbon Utilization Converts CCS into CCUS

There are many uses for  $CO_2$  but EOR is by far the largest.

CO<sub>2</sub> can be a valuable commodity rather than a waste product.

Utilization transforms the economic model for CCS.



#### The Resource Potential is Enormous

#### **United States CO<sub>2</sub> EOR Resource Assessment**

	Crude Oil Resource, Billion Barrels	CO <sub>2</sub> Demand, Billion metric tons	
Current CO <sub>2</sub> EOR Technology Scenario, Economic reservoirs, lower 48 onshore*	24	9	
Next Generation CO <sub>2</sub> EOR, Economic reservoirs, lower 48 onshore*	60	17	
Next Generation CO <sub>2</sub> EOR, add reservoirs that are un-economic but technically possible, lower 48 onshore	104	33	
Next Generation CO <sub>2</sub> EOR, economic + technically possible, add residual oil zones, Alaska, and offshore Gulf of Mexico	137	45	
* Economics based on 85 \$/bbl, \$40/mtCO2, and 20% IRR before tax			

Source: DOE/NETL 2011/1504

Billions of tons of CO<sub>2</sub> sequestered.Billions of barrels of oil produced.

1 ton of  $CO_2 =>$ ~2.5 barrels of oil.



#### **Crude Oil Production from CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR**

Oil production from CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR has nearly doubled during the past 5 years. In 2012, it represented 6% of total U.S. crude oil production.



Source: Advanced Resources Int'l. and the Oil and Gas Journal, 2012.



## CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR Can Reverse Oil Production Declines



Mississippi Oil Production History and Short-Term

Projections ("Violating the Hubbert Curve")



NATIONAL PETROLEUM COUNCIL

#### CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR Will Store Multiple Billion Metric Tons of Industrial CO<sub>2</sub>

Even with no changes in greenhouse gas policies,  $CO_2$ -EOR is on pace to store one to two billion metric tons of industrial  $CO_2$  by year 2030:

- Existing/announced CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR projects will store one billion metric tons.
- New CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR projects could add a second billion metric tons.





#### Today's CO<sub>2</sub> Supplies Do Not Meet Demand From EOR



"The only way to increase oil production from EOR is to boost supplies of CO<sub>2</sub> EOR from man-made sources in a manner suitably calibrated to the full potential of EOR," NEORI, 2012

source: Hill, Hovorka, Melzer 2009

## CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR Needs the CO<sub>2</sub> from Coal Power Plants

Reservoir	Number of 1GW Size Coal-Fired Power Plants***		
Setting	Technical	Economic	"CO. FOR can
L-48 Onshore	170	90	accommodate a
L-48 Offshore/Alaska	31	14	major portion of
Near-Miscible CO2-EOR	5	1	the CO <sub>2</sub> captured
ROZ**	34	28	power plants for the
Sub-Total	240	133	next 30-40 years,"
Additional From ROZ "Fairways"	86	43	- Kuuskraa, 2012

\*At \$85 per barrel oil price and \$40 per metric ton CO2 market price with ROR of 20% (before tax) \*\*ROZ resources below existing oilfields in three basins; economics of ROZ resources are preliminary \*\*\*Assuming 7 MMmt/yr of CO2 emissions, 90% capture and 30 years of operation per 1GW of generating capacity; the U.S. currently has aproximately 309 GW of coal-fired power plant capacity

## Conventional Oil Fields Are Just the Beginning

- Great potential for CO<sub>2</sub> injection beyond traditional EOR.
- Residual Oil Zones
- Unmineable Coal Beds
- Shale
- Enhanced Gas Recovery

#### **Residual Oil Zone "Fairways"**



## Sources and Sinks of $CO_2$ are Both Extensive



1.020 Kilon

255 510





#### CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR Combined With Saline Aquifers For Maximum Storage Potential



#### **Bakken Shale Offers Substantial Promise**



## CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR in Shale Could be Used in Many Areas



#### DOE has Invested Heavily in CCUS

#### **DOE CCUS Demonstration Projects**

Focus – Large-scale commercial demonstration of CCUS integrated with coal power generation and industrial sources.



## CO<sub>2</sub> Pipeline Expansion Will Be Required

Map of Possible CO<sub>2</sub> Pipeline Corridors for High CCS Case with Greater Use of EOR



## CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR Offers Great Economic Potential

Aspirational case study by National Coal Council:

- By 2030, CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR industry would generate nearly \$200 billion in annual sales.
- \$60 billion in federal and state and local government tax revenues.
- Revitalize large number of industry sectors and create many professional and technical jobs.

Jobs Created in 2030 by CO2 EOR



(Selected Industries)

Source: Management Information Services, Inc., 2012.

## USA was the Inventor, but China is Now the Leader

- China is driving innovation in CCUS.
- China is rapidly building out its coal fleet.
  - One new coal power plant per week.
  - One new coal gasification plant per month.
- China has low cost manufacturing and fast construction speed.
- Western firms heading to China to commercialize new technology.
- USA has greatest potential for EOR, but China is investing in it.
- US-China collaboration benefits the entire world.



## EPA is Enacting New Carbon Pollution Standards

#### Clean Air Act

- On Sept 20, 2013 EPA proposed standards for power plants built in the future under Section 111 (b). -New Source Performance Standards
- On June 2, 2014 EPA proposed a plan to cut carbon pollution from existing power plants under Section 111 (d). - 50 Plans For 50 States
- Both proposals have been highly controversial.



## EPA Endorses CCS and CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR for New Coal Power Plants



The EPA's Technical Support Document for the New Source Performance Standards state:

- Partial carbon capture and storage technology (CCS) is the best system of emission reduction adequately demonstrated (BSER).
- CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR has been successfully used at many production fields throughout the U.S. to increase oil recovery.
- The use of EOR lowers costs for production of domestic oil, which promotes the important goal of energy independence.
- The EPA wishes to encourage rather than discourage EOR using captured CO<sub>2</sub> since the practice makes CCS itself more economic.

#### Industry Does Not Agree With EPA that CCS is BSER

The National Mining Association submitted comments that represent industry views:

- CCS has not been demonstrated at appropriate scale for power plant application and in its current state of development it remains cost prohibitive.
- The costs of CCS are exorbitant and therefore unreasonable.

New Source Performance Standards will face legal challenge.



# All the Plants Cited by EPA Using CCS Have Needed Public Funding or Have Not Been Built

- Energy Policy Act of 2005 prohibits EPA from basing performance standards on power plants that were funded by DOE's Clean Coal Power Initiative.
- Kemper, MS IGCC w/ partial CCS Major cost overruns and delays and DOE funded.
- Boundary Dam (Canada) Funded by Canadian Gov't, not yet operational.
- Dakota Gasification Not a power plant but a coal to synthetic natural gas plant and received significant DOE funding.
- Hydrogen Energy California, HECA Not begun construction, DOE funded.
- Summit Power, TCEP– Not begun construction, DOE funded.
- This is legally problematic for EPA's assertion that CCS is "adequately demonstrated" and <u>will be decided in court</u>.

#### Fears that Regulations Will Stall CCS Build Out

- EPA states that they expect few, if any, new coal fired power plants to be built.
- EPA expects most new power plants to be NGCC (natural gas combined cycle) with no CCS.



CCS is here. The technology works but is still scaling up commercially.

#### Price Gap Between Cost of Carbon Capture and Price EOR Industry Will Pay for CO<sub>2</sub>.

\$53-\$65

#### CO<sub>2</sub> Cost

#### CO<sub>2</sub> Price

	C02			
EOR	CCUS			
PTTC /	IPTA AAPG			

PERMIAN COUS CENTER

Steel Mill

-

Summary – Industrial Sources of CO,

184

Source	Flue Gas % CO2	CO2 MMSCF/D	Capture Cost \$/ton
• Ammonia Plant	98+	0-37	~ \$19*
<ul> <li>Hydrogen Plant</li> </ul>	95+	24	~ \$19*
<ul> <li>Ethylene Oxide</li> </ul>	98+	9	~ \$19*
• Ethanol Plant	98+	5-8	\$28-\$38
Coal Power Plant	12-13	222	\$68
• Natural Gas Turbine	4-5	72	\$83
Cement Plant	14-33	56	\$45-\$48

15-20

Rule of thumb is 2-3 % of the crude oil price

\$90/bbl \* 2.0% = 2.25 \$/mcf = **34 \$/mt CO**<sub>2</sub>

~ \$30 per ton difference between cost of carbon capture on coal power plant and sale price for  $CO_2$ .

\* Cost of dehydration and compression

#### Smart Policies Are Needed To Promote CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR

- Current Proposals and Bills:
- NEORI National Enhanced Oil Recovery Initiative
  - Coalition has proposed a series of federal and state policies to encourage CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR.
  - Highlight is federal tax credit for CO<sub>2</sub> capture and transport.
- Senator Rockefeller (D-WV) has 2 bills before Congress.
- Senator Heitkamp (D-ND) ACCTION bill introduced.







A coalition of NGO's, industry and elected officials www.neori.org

- Proposes Federal Tax Credit for companies that capture and transport CO<sub>2</sub>.
  - Program would pay for itself within 10 years through revenues earned from oil production.
  - Tax credit provided to owners of CO<sub>2</sub> capture equipment.
  - Applicable to a variety of industries, not just coal.
  - Limited to covering the additional costs for CO<sub>2</sub> capture, compression and transport.
- Recommends modification of existing Section 45Q tax credit for CO<sub>2</sub> sequestration.
  - Existing credit has technical issues in the statute that has prevented it from being utilized.

Identifies detailed series of state level policies, both existing and proposed.



Proposal aligns goals for:

- CO<sub>2</sub> Sequestration
- Domestic Oil Production
- Federal Tax Revenues





#### Sen. Rockefeller

#### Expanding Carbon Capture through Enhanced Oil Recovery Act of 2014 (S. 2288)

- Closely follows NEORI proposals.
- Expands 45Q tax credit.
  - Tax credits awarded via competitive bidding.
  - Bids reflect difference between cost to capture and transport CO<sub>2</sub> and revenue earned from sale for CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR.
  - Separate tranches for electric power, low cost industrial and high cost industrial.
- Reforms 45Q tax credit.
  - Certification process allows projects to reserve credits which helps projects obtain private sector investment.
  - Schedules periodic reviews of 45Q program and provides authority to Sec. of Treasury to ensure credits are revenue positive for federal government.
  - Annual tax credit adjustment based on changes in price of oil.
  - Provides ability to transfer credits to entity disposing of the CO<sub>2</sub>.

## Sen. Rockefeller

#### Carbon Capture and Sequestration Deployment Act of 2014 (S.2287)

- Carbon Capture & Sequestration Innovation Program
  - Authorize \$1 billion over 15 years for a cooperative industry-government R&D program in DOE.
  - Demonstrate novel and innovative technologies.
  - Industry partners would be required to match up to 20% of the government's investment.
  - Require an annual DOE report to assess program and the current state of CCS deployment.
  - Require GAO review of DOE's efforts.
- Modification to the Carbon Dioxide Sequestration Credit (45Q)
  - Amend current law by allowing projects to apply credits for future use.
  - Limit the amount of credits any one project can receive so that multiple projects can receive credits.
  - Allow credit to be transferred.
- Authorize \$20 billion in loan guarantees for new and retrofit CCS projects.
- Create a new investment tax credit to cover up to 30% of the incremental cost of CCS equipment.

#### Sen. Heitkamp Advanced Clean Coal Technology Investment in Our Nation Act of 2014 (ACCTION Act of 2014) (S. 2152)

- **Develop large-scale carbon storage programs** to support commercial application of CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR.
- Increase accessibility of funds in existing federal programs
  - Direct \$2 billion of current DOE Loan Guarantee Program funding to go to coal projects.
  - Enable projects to receive DOE loan guarantees even if they have received other sources assistance.
  - Streamline process for companies to receive federal funds.
- Revamp existing R&D programs for advanced coal and CCS.
- Increase current tax credit for CCS to 30% and include polygeneration facilities.
- Create a variable price support for companies that capture CO2 to provide long-term certainty to the utilities that sell CO<sub>2</sub> for enhanced oil and gas recovery, regardless of the price of oil.
- Create clean energy coal bonds to provide tax credits for coal-powered facilities that sequester CO<sub>2</sub> or meet efficiency targets relative to the current coal fleet.
- Require reports to Congress from the DOE on the economic and technical status of CCS.

#### Regulatory Challenges – Is CO<sub>2</sub> Waste or Commodity?

- EPA regulates CO<sub>2</sub> injection wells under Safe Drinking Water Act.
- CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR injection wells historically classified **UIC Class II** (Underground Injection Control).
- Operators are knowledgeable and have decades of successful experience under Class II rules.
- UIC Class VI is new program for purpose of geological sequestration.
- No wells have been permitted to date under Class VI rules.
- Class VI rules are complex and costly and not compatible with CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR operations.
- Class VI requires owner to monitor well for 50 years, not commercially feasible for CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR.

#### **Recommendations:**

- Regulatory certainty is necessary for the development of a robust CCUS and CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR industry.
- Deployments are needed to bring costs down and get beyond *First Of A Kind* economics.
- Long distance  $CO_2$  pipeline infrastructure is needed.
- New coal plants need to be strategically sited close to coal sources and close to CO<sub>2</sub> sinks.

## Final Thoughts:

- In a world of growing energy demand we need all sources of energy.
- Hydrocarbons are not going away.
- Carbon sequestration is critical to addressing carbon pollution and climate change.

#### • We must rethink how we use coal.

- Coal to Synthetic Natural Gas and Coal to Liquids offer improved economics for carbon capture.
- Synthetic diesel, gasoline and jet fuel from coal, biomass and garbage are ultra-pure.
- Coal ash has excellent properties in use as cement and it improves the carbon footprint of concrete. It is lose-lose to waste coal ash by leaving it in polluting piles.
- Coal is a critical domestic resource, it is foolish to consider to abandoning it while we continue to fight wars overseas to secure access to hydrocarbons.